



School of the Art Institute of Chicago

Traditional Report AY 2018-19

Illinois



REPORT COMPLETE

STATUS: CERTIFIED

Institution Information

Key terms in this section are listed below. Click on the link to view the definition(s) in the glossary.

- [Academic year](#)
- [IPEDS ID](#)

IPEDS ID

143048

 THIS INSTITUTION HAS NO IPEDS ID**IF NO IPEDS ID, PLEASE PROVIDE AN EXPLANATION****ADDRESS**

37 S. Wabash #713 I

CITY

Chicago

STATE

Illinois

ZIP

60603

SALUTATION

Ms.

FIRST NAME

Valerie

LAST NAME

Vasquez

PHONE

(312) 899-7482

EMAIL

vvasqu@saic.edu

List of Programs

List each program for an initial teaching credential below and indicate whether it is offered at the Undergraduate level (UG), Institution Information Postgraduate level (PG), or both.

(§205(a)(C))

Key terms in this section are listed below. Click on the link to view the definition(s) in the glossary.

- [Teacher Preparation Program](#)

THIS PAGE INCLUDES:

>> [List of Programs](#)

List of Programs

CIP Code	Teacher Preparation Programs	UG, PG, or Both	Update
13.1302	Teacher Education - Art	Both	

Total number of teacher preparation programs:

1

Program Requirements

THIS PAGE INCLUDES:

- >> [Undergraduate Requirements](#)
- >> [Postgraduate Requirements](#)
- >> [Supervised Clinical Experience](#)

Check the elements required for admission (entry) into and completion (exit) from the program. If programs are offered at the undergraduate level and postgraduate level, complete the table for both types of programs. [\(\\$205\(a\)\(1\)\(C\)\(i\)\)](#)

Key terms in this section are listed below. Click on the link to view the definition(s) in the glossary.

- [Full-time equivalent faculty supervising clinical experience](#)
- [Adjunct faculty supervising clinical experience](#)
- [Cooperating Teachers/PreK-12 Staff Supervising Clinical Experience](#)
- [Supervised clinical experience](#)

Undergraduate Requirements

1. Are there initial teacher certification programs at the undergraduate level?

- Yes
 No

If yes, for each element listed below, indicate if it is required for admission into or exit from any of your teacher preparation program(s) at the undergraduate level. If no, leave the table below blank (or [clear responses already entered](#)) then click save at the bottom of the page.

Element	Admission	Completion
Transcript	<input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No	<input type="radio"/> Yes <input checked="" type="radio"/> No
Fingerprint check	<input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No	<input type="radio"/> Yes <input checked="" type="radio"/> No
Background check	<input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No	<input type="radio"/> Yes <input checked="" type="radio"/> No
Minimum number of courses/credits/semester hours completed	<input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No	<input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No
Minimum GPA	<input type="radio"/> Yes <input checked="" type="radio"/> No	<input type="radio"/> Yes <input checked="" type="radio"/> No
Minimum GPA in content area coursework	<input type="radio"/> Yes <input checked="" type="radio"/> No	<input type="radio"/> Yes <input checked="" type="radio"/> No
Minimum GPA in professional education coursework	<input type="radio"/> Yes <input checked="" type="radio"/> No	<input type="radio"/> Yes <input checked="" type="radio"/> No
Minimum ACT score	<input type="radio"/> Yes <input checked="" type="radio"/> No	<input type="radio"/> Yes <input checked="" type="radio"/> No
Minimum SAT score	<input type="radio"/> Yes <input checked="" type="radio"/> No	<input type="radio"/> Yes <input checked="" type="radio"/> No
Minimum basic skills test score	<input type="radio"/> Yes <input checked="" type="radio"/> No	<input type="radio"/> Yes <input checked="" type="radio"/> No
Subject area/academic content test or other subject matter verification	<input type="radio"/> Yes <input checked="" type="radio"/> No	<input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No
Recommendation(s)	<input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No	<input type="radio"/> Yes <input checked="" type="radio"/> No
Essay or personal statement	<input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No	<input type="radio"/> Yes <input checked="" type="radio"/> No

Element	Admission	Completion
Interview	<input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No	<input type="radio"/> Yes <input checked="" type="radio"/> No
Other Specify: Portfolio of Artwork	<input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No	<input type="radio"/> Yes <input checked="" type="radio"/> No

2. What is the minimum GPA required for admission into the program? (Leave blank if you indicated that a minimum GPA is not required in the table above.)

3. What is the minimum GPA required for completing the program? (Leave blank if you indicated that a minimum GPA is not required in the table above.)

4. Please provide any additional information about the information provided above:

The BFAAE Undergraduate program no longer requires a qualifying Illinois Test of Academic Proficiency (TAP), ACT plus Writing or SAT Test score for teacher licensure, in compliance with the directive from the Illinois State Board of Education. The Illinois Visual Arts Content Area Test 145 and passing the edTPA are still required for teacher licensure in Illinois. SAIC does not calculate GPA. Coursework is based on a credit/no credit basis.

Postgraduate Requirements

1. Are there initial teacher certification programs at the postgraduate level?

- Yes
 No

If yes, for each element listed below, indicate if it is required for admission into or exit from any of your teacher preparation program(s) at the postgraduate level. If no, leave the table below blank (or [clear responses already entered](#)) then click save at the bottom of the page.

Element	Admission	Completion
Transcript	<input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No	<input type="radio"/> Yes <input checked="" type="radio"/> No
Fingerprint check	<input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No	<input type="radio"/> Yes <input checked="" type="radio"/> No
Background check	<input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No	<input type="radio"/> Yes <input checked="" type="radio"/> No
Minimum number of courses/credits/semester hours completed	<input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No	<input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No
Minimum GPA	<input type="radio"/> Yes <input checked="" type="radio"/> No	<input type="radio"/> Yes <input checked="" type="radio"/> No
Minimum GPA in content area coursework	<input type="radio"/> Yes <input checked="" type="radio"/> No	<input type="radio"/> Yes <input checked="" type="radio"/> No
Minimum GPA in professional education coursework	<input type="radio"/> Yes <input checked="" type="radio"/> No	<input type="radio"/> Yes <input checked="" type="radio"/> No
Minimum ACT score	<input type="radio"/> Yes <input checked="" type="radio"/> No	<input type="radio"/> Yes <input checked="" type="radio"/> No
Minimum SAT score	<input type="radio"/> Yes <input checked="" type="radio"/> No	<input type="radio"/> Yes <input checked="" type="radio"/> No
Minimum basic skills test score	<input type="radio"/> Yes <input checked="" type="radio"/> No	<input type="radio"/> Yes <input checked="" type="radio"/> No
Subject area/academic content test or other subject matter verification	<input type="radio"/> Yes <input checked="" type="radio"/> No	<input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No

Element	Admission	Completion
Recommendation(s)	<input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No	<input type="radio"/> Yes <input checked="" type="radio"/> No
Essay or personal statement	<input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No	<input type="radio"/> Yes <input checked="" type="radio"/> No
Interview	<input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No	<input type="radio"/> Yes <input checked="" type="radio"/> No
Other Specify: <input type="text" value="Portfolio of Artwork"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No	<input type="radio"/> Yes <input checked="" type="radio"/> No

2. What is the minimum GPA required for admission into the program? (Leave blank if you indicated that a minimum GPA is not required in the table above.)

3. What is the minimum GPA required for completing the program? (Leave blank if you indicated that a minimum GPA is not required in the table above.)

4. Please provide any additional information about the information provided above:

The MAT Graduate program no longer requires a qualifying Illinois Test of Academic Proficiency (TAP), ACT plus Writing or SAT Test score for teacher licensure, in compliance with the directive from the Illinois State Board of Education. The Illinois Visual Arts Content Area Test 145 and passing the edTPA are still required for teacher licensure in Illinois. SAIC does not calculate GPA. Coursework is based on a credit/no credit basis. The Master of Arts in Teaching Candidates must complete a Master's level thesis before their degree is conferred.

Supervised Clinical Experience

Provide the following information about supervised clinical experience in 2018-19. ([§205\(a\)\(1\)\(C\)\(iii\)](#), [§205\(a\)\(1\)\(C\)\(iv\)](#))

Are there programs with student teaching models?

- Yes
 No

If yes, provide the next two responses. If no, leave them blank.

Programs with student teaching models (most traditional programs)	
Number of clock hours of supervised clinical experience required prior to student teaching	<input type="text" value="90"/>
Number of clock hours required for student teaching	<input type="text" value="490"/>

Are there programs in which candidates are the teacher of record?

- Yes
 No

If yes, provide the next two responses. If no, leave them blank.

Programs in which candidates are the teacher of record in a classroom during the program (many alternative programs)
--

Number of clock hours of supervised clinical experience required prior to teaching as the teacher of record in a classroom

Number of years required for teaching as the teacher of record in a classroom

All Programs

Number of full-time equivalent faculty supervising clinical experience during this academic year (IHE staff)

2

[Optional tool](#) for automatically calculating full-time equivalent faculty in the system

Number of adjunct faculty supervising clinical experience during this academic year (IHE staff)

4

Number of cooperating teachers/K-12 staff supervising clinical experience during this academic year

40

Number of students in supervised clinical experience during this academic year

19

Please provide any additional information about or descriptions of the supervised clinical experiences:

SAIC student teachers worked with 4 Adjunct/Part Time faculty clinical supervisors and 33 Prek-12 staff (cooperating teachers) during the 2016-2017 academic year (Total: 37).

Enrollment and Program Completers

THIS PAGE INCLUDES:

>> [Enrollment and Program Completers](#)

In each of the following categories, provide the total number of individuals enrolled in teacher preparation programs for an initial teaching credential and the subset of individuals enrolled who also completed the program during the academic year.

(§205(a)(1)(C)(ii))

Key terms in this section are listed below. Click on the link to view the definition(s) in the glossary.

- [Enrolled Student](#)
- [Program Completer](#)

Enrollment and Program Completers

2018-19 Total	
Total Number of Individuals Enrolled	35
Subset of Program Completers	18

Gender	Total Enrolled	Subset of Program Completers
Male	4	1
Female	31	17
Non-Binary/Other	0	0
No Gender Reported	0	0
Race/Ethnicity	Total Enrolled	Subset of Program Completers
American Indian or Alaska Native	0	0
Asian	4	3
Black or African American	2	2
Hispanic/Latino of any race	1	0
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	0	0
White	19	9

Race/Ethnicity	Total Enrolled	Subset of Program Completers
Two or more races	2	1
No Race/Ethnicity Reported	7	3

Teachers Prepared

On this page, enter the number of program completers by the subject area in which they were prepared to teach, and by their academic majors. Note that an individual can be counted in more than one academic major and subject area. For example, if an individual is prepared to teach Elementary Education and Mathematics, that individual should be counted in both subject areas. If no individuals were prepared in a particular academic major or subject area, you may leave the cell blank. Please use the "Other" category sparingly, if there is no similar subject area or academic major listed. In these cases, you should use the text box to describe the subject area(s) and/or the academic major(s) counted in the "Other" category.

If your IHE offers both traditional and alternative programs, be sure to enter the program completers in the appropriate reports. For the traditional report, provide only the program completers in traditional programs within the IHE. For the alternative report, provide only the program completers for the alternative programs within the IHE.

After entering the teachers prepared data, save the page using the floating save box at the bottom of the page.

Key terms in this section are listed below. Click on the link to view the definition(s) in the glossary.

- [Academic Major](#)

THIS PAGE INCLUDES:

- >> [Teachers Prepared by Subject Area](#)
- >> [Teachers Prepared by Academic Major](#)

Teachers Prepared by Subject Area

Please provide the number of teachers prepared by subject area for academic year 2018-19.

For the purposes of this section, number prepared means the number of program completers. "Subject area" refers to the subject area(s) an individual has been prepared to teach. An individual can be counted in more than one subject area. If no individuals were prepared in a particular subject area, please leave that cell blank. ([§205\(b\)\(1\)\(H\)](#))

What are CIP Codes?

No teachers prepared in academic year 2018-19

If your program has no teachers prepared, check the box above and leave the table below blank (or [clear responses already entered](#)).

What are CIP codes? The Classification of Instructional Programs (CIP) provides a taxonomic scheme that supports the accurate tracking and reporting of fields of study and program completions activity. CIP was originally developed by the U.S. Department of Education's National Center for Education Statistics (NCES) in 1980, with revisions occurring in 1985, 1990, and 2000 (<https://nces.ed.gov/ipeds/cipcode/Default.aspx?y=55>).

CIP Code	Subject Area	Number Prepared
13.10	Teacher Education - Special Education	<input type="text"/>
13.1202	Teacher Education - Elementary Education	<input type="text"/>

CIP Code	Subject Area	Number Prepared
13.1203	Teacher Education - Junior High/Intermediate/Middle School Education	<input type="text"/>
13.1210	Teacher Education - Early Childhood Education	<input type="text"/>
13.1301	Teacher Education - Agriculture	<input type="text"/>
13.1302	Teacher Education - Art	18
13.1303	Teacher Education - Business	<input type="text"/>
13.1305	Teacher Education - English/Language Arts	<input type="text"/>
13.1306	Teacher Education - Foreign Language	<input type="text"/>
13.1307	Teacher Education - Health	<input type="text"/>
13.1308	Teacher Education - Family and Consumer Sciences/Home Economics	<input type="text"/>
13.1309	Teacher Education - Technology Teacher Education/Industrial Arts	<input type="text"/>
13.1311	Teacher Education - Mathematics	<input type="text"/>
13.1312	Teacher Education - Music	<input type="text"/>
13.1314	Teacher Education - Physical Education and Coaching	<input type="text"/>
13.1315	Teacher Education - Reading	<input type="text"/>
13.1316	Teacher Education - Science Teacher Education/General Science	<input type="text"/>
13.1317	Teacher Education - Social Science	<input type="text"/>
13.1318	Teacher Education - Social Studies	<input type="text"/>
13.1320	Teacher Education - Trade and Industrial	<input type="text"/>
13.1321	Teacher Education - Computer Science	<input type="text"/>
13.1322	Teacher Education - Biology	<input type="text"/>
13.1323	Teacher Education - Chemistry	<input type="text"/>
13.1324	Teacher Education - Drama and Dance	<input type="text"/>
13.1328	Teacher Education - History	<input type="text"/>
13.1329	Teacher Education - Physics	<input type="text"/>
13.1331	Teacher Education - Speech	<input type="text"/>

CIP Code	Subject Area	Number Prepared
13.1337	Teacher Education - Earth Science	<input type="text"/>
13.14	Teacher Education - English as a Second Language	<input type="text"/>
13.99	Education - Other Specify: <input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>

Teachers Prepared by Academic Major

Please provide the number of teachers prepared by academic major for academic year 2018-19. For the purposes of this section, number prepared means the number of program completers. "Academic major" refers to the actual major(s) declared by the program completer. An individual can be counted in more than one academic major. If no individuals were prepared in a particular academic major, please leave that cell blank. ([§205\(b\)\(1\)\(H\)](#))

Please note that the list of majors includes several "Teacher Education" majors, as well as several noneducation majors. Please use care in entering your majors to ensure education-specific majors and non-education majors are counted correctly. For example, if an individual majored in Chemistry, that individual should be counted in the "Chemistry" academic major category rather than the "Teacher Education–Chemistry" category.

[What are CIP Codes?](#)

Do participants earn a degree upon completion of the program?

- Yes
 No

No teachers prepared in academic year 2018-19

If your program does not grant participants a degree upon completion, or has no teachers prepared, leave the table below blank (or [clear responses already entered](#)).

CIP Code	Academic Major	Number Prepared
13.10	Teacher Education - Special Education	<input type="text"/>
13.1202	Teacher Education - Elementary Education	<input type="text"/>
13.1203	Teacher Education - Junior High/Intermediate/Middle School Education	<input type="text"/>
13.1210	Teacher Education - Early Childhood Education	<input type="text"/>
13.1301	Teacher Education - Agriculture	<input type="text"/>
13.1302	Teacher Education - Art	18
13.1303	Teacher Education - Business	<input type="text"/>
13.1305	Teacher Education - English/Language Arts	<input type="text"/>
13.1306	Teacher Education - Foreign Language	<input type="text"/>
13.1307	Teacher Education - Health	<input type="text"/>

CIP Code	Academic Major	Number Prepared
13.1308	Teacher Education - Family and Consumer Sciences/Home Economics	<input type="text"/>
13.1309	Teacher Education - Technology Teacher Education/Industrial Arts	<input type="text"/>
13.1311	Teacher Education - Mathematics	<input type="text"/>
13.1312	Teacher Education - Music	<input type="text"/>
13.1314	Teacher Education - Physical Education and Coaching	<input type="text"/>
13.1315	Teacher Education - Reading	<input type="text"/>
13.1316	Teacher Education - General Science	<input type="text"/>
13.1317	Teacher Education - Social Science	<input type="text"/>
13.1318	Teacher Education - Social Studies	<input type="text"/>
13.1320	Teacher Education - Trade and Industrial	<input type="text"/>
13.1321	Teacher Education - Computer Science	<input type="text"/>
13.1322	Teacher Education - Biology	<input type="text"/>
13.1323	Teacher Education - Chemistry	<input type="text"/>
13.1324	Teacher Education - Drama and Dance	<input type="text"/>
13.1328	Teacher Education - History	<input type="text"/>
13.1329	Teacher Education - Physics	<input type="text"/>
13.1331	Teacher Education - Speech	<input type="text"/>
13.1337	Teacher Education - Earth Science	<input type="text"/>
13.14	Teacher Education - English as a Second Language	<input type="text"/>
13.99	Education - Other Specify: <input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>
01	Agriculture	<input type="text"/>
03	Natural Resources and Conservation	<input type="text"/>
05	Area, Ethnic, Cultural, and Gender Studies	<input type="text"/>
09	Communication or Journalism	<input type="text"/>

CIP Code	Academic Major	Number Prepared
11	Computer and Information Sciences	<input type="text"/>
12	Personal and Culinary Services	<input type="text"/>
14	Engineering	<input type="text"/>
16	Foreign Languages, Literatures, and Linguistics	<input type="text"/>
19	Family and Consumer Sciences/Human Sciences	<input type="text"/>
21	Technology Education/Industrial Arts	<input type="text"/>
22	Legal Professions and Studies	<input type="text"/>
23	English Language/Literature	<input type="text"/>
24	Liberal Arts/Humanities	<input type="text"/>
25	Library Science	<input type="text"/>
26	Biological and Biomedical Sciences	<input type="text"/>
27	Mathematics and Statistics	<input type="text"/>
30	Multi/Interdisciplinary Studies	<input type="text"/>
38	Philosophy and Religious Studies	<input type="text"/>
40	Physical Sciences	<input type="text"/>
41	Science Technologies/Technicians	<input type="text"/>
42	Psychology	<input type="text"/>
44	Public Administration and Social Service Professions	<input type="text"/>
45	Social Sciences	<input type="text"/>
46	Construction	<input type="text"/>
47	Mechanic and Repair Technologies	<input type="text"/>
50	Visual and Performing Arts	<input type="text"/>
51	Health Professions and Related Clinical Sciences	<input type="text"/>
52	Business/Management/Marketing	<input type="text"/>
54	History	<input type="text"/>

CIP Code	Academic Major	Number Prepared
99	Other Specify: <input data-bbox="289 121 1260 163" type="text"/>	<input data-bbox="1292 90 1568 132" type="text"/>

Program Assurances

THIS PAGE INCLUDES:

>> [Program Assurances](#)

Respond to the following assurances. Note: Teacher preparation programs should be prepared to provide documentation and evidence, when requested, to support the following assurances. ([§205\(a\)\(1\)\(A\)\(iii\)](#); [§206\(b\)](#))

Program Assurances

1. Program preparation responds to the identified needs of the local educational agencies or States where the program completers are likely to teach, based on past hiring and recruitment trends.

- Yes
 No

2. Preparation is closely linked with the needs of schools and the instructional decisions new teachers face in the classroom.

- Yes
 No

3. Prospective special education teachers are prepared in core academic subjects and to instruct in core academic subjects.

- Yes
 No
 Program does not prepare special education teachers

4. Prospective general education teachers are prepared to provide instruction to students with disabilities.

- Yes
 No

5. Prospective general education teachers are prepared to provide instruction to limited English proficient students.

- Yes
 No

6. Prospective general education teachers are prepared to provide instruction to students from low-income families.

- Yes
 No

7. Prospective teachers are prepared to effectively teach in urban and rural schools, as applicable.

- Yes
 No

8. Describe your institution's most successful strategies in meeting the assurances listed above:

SAIC assures that training provided to candidates is linked with the needs of new teachers and local school districts through participation in the SAIC Teacher Education Network (TEN) that shares professional expertise and resources. TEN members (mentor teachers), drawn from a diverse network of schools work with SAIC faculty to collectively design, implement, and evaluate field experiences and clinical practice so that SAIC teacher candidates develop and demonstrate the knowledge, skills, and professional dispositions necessary to help all students learn. Director of Teacher Education coordinates Fieldwork and Apprentice Teaching experiences and serves as a liaison between SAIC Art Education, SAIC Faculty Supervisors, Mentor Teachers, and school administrators to ensure that SAIC is supporting our teacher candidates in providing differentiated instruction for students with various needs, including English language learning. The Unit's Conceptual Framework expresses a commitment to the development of educators who engage learners through curriculum and pedagogy related to social, cultural, economic, and political contexts in relation to self, community, and the world. All candidates complete core coursework and field experiences in urban and outlying area schools with diverse student and faculty populations

that address the needs of students with disabilities, students from low-income families, and those who are limited English Proficient and English Language Learners. Candidates use a variety of instructional strategies to support the needs of all learners in developing critical thinking, problem-solving, and performance skills.

Annual Goals: Mathematics

Each institution of higher education (IHE) that conducts a traditional teacher preparation program (including programs that offer any ongoing professional development programs) or alternative route teacher preparation program, and that enrolls students receiving Federal assistance under this Act, shall set annual quantifiable goals for increasing the number of prospective teachers trained in teacher shortage areas designated by the Secretary or by the state educational agency, including mathematics, science, special education, and instruction of limited English proficient students.

[\(§205\(a\)\(1\) \(A\)\(i\), §205\(a\)\(1\)\(A\)\(ii\), §206\(a\)\)](#)

Please note that the goals you set last year are not pre-loaded into your report because the Department's changes to wording of the questions in this section make them incompatible for pre-loading from last year's data. Next year, your goals will be pre-loaded into your report based on the goals you enter this year.

In order to complete this section, you may find it helpful to review your goals in your 2019 report. You may download and review your 2019 report by following the following steps: 1) click the Home tab, 2) click "Academic Year 2018-19 Data" in the banner near the top of the page to expand the dropdown, and select "Academic Year 2017-18 Data," 3) Click Download Report.

Key terms in this section are listed below. Click on the link to view the definition(s) in the glossary.

- [Quantifiable Goals](#)

THIS PAGE INCLUDES:

- >> [Report Progress on Last Year's Goal \(2018-19\)](#)
- >> [Review Current Year's Goal \(2019-20\)](#)
- >> [Set Next Year's Goal \(2020-21\)](#)

Report Progress on Last Year's Goal (2018-19)

1. Did your program prepare teachers in mathematics in 2018-19?

If no, leave remaining questions for 2018-19 blank (or [clear responses already entered](#)).

- Yes
 No

2. Describe your goal.

3. Did your program meet the goal?

- Yes
 No

4. Description of strategies used to achieve goal, if applicable:

5. Description of steps to improve performance in meeting goal or lessons learned in meeting goal, if applicable:

6. Provide any additional comments, exceptions and explanations below:

Review Current Year's Goal (2019-20)

7. Is your program preparing teachers in mathematics in 2019-20? If no, leave the next question blank.

Yes

No

8. Describe your goal.

Set Next Year's Goal (2020-21)

9. Will your program prepare teachers in mathematics in 2020-21? If no, leave the next question blank.

Yes

No

10. Describe your goal.

Annual Goals: Science

THIS PAGE INCLUDES:

- >> [Report Progress on Last Year's Goal \(2018-19\)](#)
- >> [Review Current Year's Goal \(2019-20\)](#)
- >> [Set Next Year's Goal \(2020-21\)](#)

Each institution of higher education (IHE) that conducts a traditional teacher preparation program (including programs that offer any ongoing professional development programs) or alternative route teacher preparation program, and that enrolls students receiving Federal assistance under this Act, shall set annual quantifiable goals for increasing the number of prospective teachers trained in teacher shortage areas designated by the Secretary or by the state educational agency, including mathematics, science, special education, and instruction of limited English proficient students.

[\(§205\(a\)\(1\) \(A\)\(i\), §205\(a\)\(1\)\(A\)\(ii\), §206\(a\)\)](#)

Please note that the goals you set last year are not pre-loaded into your report because the Department's changes to wording of the questions in this section make them incompatible for pre-loading from last year's data. Next year, your goals will be pre-loaded into your report based on the goals you enter this year.

In order to complete this section, you may find it helpful to review your goals in your 2019 report. You may download and review your 2019 report by following the following steps: 1) click the Home tab, 2) click "Academic Year 2018-19 Data" in the banner near the top of the page to expand the dropdown, and select "Academic Year 2017-18 Data," 3) Click Download Report.

Key terms in this section are listed below. Click on the link to view the definition(s) in the glossary.

- [Quantifiable Goals](#)

Report Progress on Last Year's Goal (2018-19)

1. Did your program prepare teachers in science in 2018-19?

If no, leave remaining questions for 2018-19 blank (or [clear responses already entered](#)).

- Yes
 No

2. Describe your goal.

3. Did your program meet the goal?

- Yes
 No

4. Description of strategies used to achieve goal, if applicable:

5. Description of steps to improve performance in meeting goal or lessons learned in meeting goal, if applicable:

6. Provide any additional comments, exceptions and explanations below:

Review Current Year's Goal (2019-20)

7. Is your program preparing teachers in science in 2019-20? If no, leave the next question blank.

Yes

No

8. Describe your goal.

Set Next Year's Goal (2020-21)

9. Will your program prepare teachers in science in 2020-21? If no, leave the next question blank.

Yes

No

10. Describe your goal.

Annual Goals: Special Education

Each institution of higher education (IHE) that conducts a traditional teacher preparation program (including programs that offer any ongoing professional development programs) or alternative route teacher preparation program, and that enrolls students receiving Federal assistance under this Act, shall set annual quantifiable goals for increasing the number of prospective teachers trained in teacher shortage areas designated by the Secretary or by the state educational agency, including mathematics, science, special education, and instruction of limited English proficient students.

[\(§205\(a\)\(1\) \(A\)\(i\), §205\(a\)\(1\)\(A\)\(ii\), §206\(a\)\)](#)

Please note that the goals you set last year are not pre-loaded into your report because the Department's changes to wording of the questions in this section make them incompatible for pre-loading from last year's data. Next year, your goals will be pre-loaded into your report based on the goals you enter this year.

In order to complete this section, you may find it helpful to review your goals in your 2019 report. You may download and review your 2019 report by following the following steps: 1) click the Home tab, 2) click "Academic Year 2018-19 Data" in the banner near the top of the page to expand the dropdown, and select "Academic Year 2017-18 Data," 3) Click Download Report.

Key terms in this section are listed below. Click on the link to view the definition(s) in the glossary.

- [Quantifiable Goals](#)

THIS PAGE INCLUDES:

- >> [Report Progress on Last Year's Goal \(2018-19\)](#)
- >> [Review Current Year's Goal \(2019-20\)](#)
- >> [Set Next Year's Goal \(2020-21\)](#)

Report Progress on Last Year's Goal (2018-19)

1. Did your program prepare teachers in special education in 2018-19?

If no, leave remaining questions for 2018-19 blank (or [clear responses already entered](#)).

- Yes
 No

2. Describe your goal.

3. Did your program meet the goal?

- Yes
 No

4. Description of strategies used to achieve goal, if applicable:

5. Description of steps to improve performance in meeting goal or lessons learned in meeting goal, if applicable:

6. Provide any additional comments, exceptions and explanations below:

Review Current Year's Goal (2019-20)

7. Is your program preparing teachers in special education in 2019-20? If no, leave the next question blank.

Yes

No

8. Describe your goal.

Set Next Year's Goal (2020-21)

9. Will your program prepare teachers in special education in 2020-21? If no, leave the next question blank.

Yes

No

10. Describe your goal.

Annual Goals: Instruction of Limited English Proficient Students

Each institution of higher education (IHE) that conducts a traditional teacher preparation program (including programs that offer any ongoing professional development programs) or alternative route teacher preparation program, and that enrolls students receiving Federal assistance under this Act, shall set annual quantifiable goals for increasing the number of prospective teachers trained in teacher shortage areas designated by the Secretary or by the state educational agency, including mathematics, science, special education, and instruction of limited English proficient students.

[\(\\$205\(a\)\(1\)\(A\)\(i\), \\$205\(a\)\(1\)\(A\)\(ii\), \\$206\(a\)\)](#)

Please note that the goals you set last year are not pre-loaded into your report because the Department's changes to wording of the questions in this section make them incompatible for pre-loading from last year's data. Next year, your goals will be pre-loaded into your report based on the goals you enter this year.

In order to complete this section, you may find it helpful to review your goals in your 2019 report. You may download and review your 2019 report by following the following steps: 1) click the Home tab, 2) click "Academic Year 2018-19 Data" in the banner near the top of the page to expand the dropdown, and select "Academic Year 2017-18 Data," 3) Click Download Report.

Key terms in this section are listed below. Click on the link to view the definition(s) in the glossary.

- [Quantifiable Goals](#)

THIS PAGE INCLUDES:

- >> [Report Progress on Last Year's Goal \(2018-19\)](#)
- >> [Review Current Year's Goal \(2019-20\)](#)
- >> [Set Next Year's Goal \(2020-21\)](#)

Report Progress on Last Year's Goal (2018-19)

1. Did your program prepare teachers in instruction of limited English proficient students in 2018-19?

If no, leave remaining questions for 2018-19 blank (or [clear responses already entered](#)).

- Yes
 No

2. Describe your goal.

3. Did your program meet the goal?

- Yes
 No

4. Description of strategies used to achieve goal, if applicable:

5. Description of steps to improve performance in meeting goal or lessons learned in meeting goal, if applicable:

6. Provide any additional comments, exceptions and explanations below:

Review Current Year's Goal (2019-20)

7. Is your program preparing teachers in instruction of limited English proficient students in 2019-20? If no, leave the next question blank.

- Yes
 No

8. Describe your goal.

Set Next Year's Goal (2020-21)

9. Will your program prepare teachers in instruction of limited English proficient students in 2020-21? If no, leave the next question blank.

- Yes
 No

10. Describe your goal.

Assessment Pass Rates

THIS PAGE INCLUDES:

>> [Assessment Pass Rates](#)

The pass rates table is populated from files provided by the testing company or state. The table provides information on the performance of the students in your teacher preparation program on each teacher credential assessment used by your state. In cases where a student has taken a given assessment more than once, the highest score on that test is used. In the case of a teacher preparation program with fewer than 10 scores reported on any single initial teacher credential assessment during an academic year, the program shall collect and publish information with respect to an average pass rate and scaled score on each state credential assessment taken over a three-year period. [\(§205\(a\)\(1\)\(B\)\)](#)

Please note that this page does not have an edit feature as the pass rates have already been through several rounds of verification. If you identify an error, please contact Westat's Title II Support Center and your testing company representative.

Key terms in this section are listed below. Click on the link to view the definition(s) in the glossary.

- [Pass rate](#)
- [Scaled score](#)
- [Teacher credential assessment](#)

Assessment Pass Rates

Assessment code - Assessment name Test Company Group	Number taking tests	Avg. scaled score	Number passing tests	Pass rate (%)
145 -VISUAL ARTS Evaluation Systems group of Pearson Other enrolled students	17	265	17	100
145 -VISUAL ARTS Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2018-19	18	267	18	100
145 -VISUAL ARTS Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2017-18	11	264	11	100
145 -VISUAL ARTS Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2016-17	23	264	23	100
TP015 -VISUAL ARTS Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2016-17	23	55	23	100
TP015.1 -VISUAL ARTS.1 Evaluation Systems group of Pearson Other enrolled students	1			

Assessment code - Assessment name Test Company Group	Number taking tests	Avg. scaled score	Number passing tests	Pass rate (%)
TP015.1 -VISUAL ARTS.1 Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2018-19	3			
TP015.1 -VISUAL ARTS.1 Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2017-18	11	51	11	100
TP015.2 -VISUAL ARTS.2 Evaluation Systems group of Pearson Other enrolled students	4			
TP015.2 -VISUAL ARTS.2 Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2018-19	15	50	15	100

Summary Pass Rates

The pass rates table is populated from files provided by the testing company or state. The table provides information on the performance of the students in your teacher preparation program on each teacher credential assessment used by your state. In cases where a student has taken a given assessment more than once, the highest score on that test is used. In the case of a teacher preparation program with fewer than 10 scores reported on any single initial teacher credential assessment during an academic year, the program shall collect and publish information with respect to an average pass rate and scaled score on each state credential assessment taken over a three-year period. [\(§205\(a\)\(1\)\(B\)\)](#)

Please note that this page does not have an edit feature as the pass rates have already been through several rounds of verification. If you identify an error, please contact Westat's Title II Support Center and your testing company representative.

Key terms in this section are listed below. Click on the link to view the definition(s) in the glossary.

- [Pass rate](#)
- [Scaled score](#)
- [Teacher credential assessment](#)

THIS PAGE INCLUDES:

>> [Summary Pass Rates](#)

Summary Pass Rates

Group	Number taking tests	Number passing tests	Pass rate (%)
All program completers, 2018-19	18	18	100
All program completers, 2017-18	11	11	100
All program completers, 2016-17	23	23	100

Low-Performing

THIS PAGE INCLUDES:

>> [Low-Performing](#)

Provide the following information about the approval or accreditation of your teacher preparation program. ([§205\(a\)\(1\)\(D\)](#), [§205\(a\)\(1\)\(E\)](#))

Low-Performing

1. Is your teacher preparation program currently approved or accredited?

- Yes
- No

If yes, please specify the organization(s) that approved or accredited your program:

- State
- CAEP
- AAQEP
- Other specify:

NASAD

2. Is your teacher preparation program currently under a designation as "low-performing" by the state?

- Yes
- No

Use of Technology

On this page, review the questions regarding your program's use of technology. If you submitted an IPRC last year, this section is pre-loaded from your prior year's report; please review and update as necessary.

After reviewing and updating as necessary, save the page using the floating save box at the bottom of the page.

THIS PAGE INCLUDES:

>> [Use of Technology](#)

Use of Technology

1. Provide the following information about the use of technology in your teacher preparation program. Please note that choosing 'yes' indicates that your teacher preparation program would be able to provide evidence upon request. ([§205\(a\)\(1\)\(F\)](#))

Does your program prepare teachers to:

- a. integrate technology effectively into curricula and instruction

Yes
 No

- b. use technology effectively to collect data to improve teaching and learning

Yes
 No

- c. use technology effectively to manage data to improve teaching and learning

Yes
 No

- d. use technology effectively to analyze data to improve teaching and learning

Yes
 No

2. Provide a description of the evidence that your program uses to show that it prepares teachers to integrate technology effectively into curricula and instruction, and to use technology effectively to collect, manage, and analyze data in order to improve teaching and learning for the purpose of increasing student academic achievement. Include a description of the evidence your program uses to show that it prepares teachers to use the principles of universal design for learning, as applicable. Include planning activities and a timeline if any of the four elements listed above are not currently in place.

TECHNOLOGY Faculty employ digital technologies in most art education courses---making extensive use of Canvas (SAIC's digital learning platform), various Google educational products, and a variety of software programs. SAIC faculty and teacher candidates utilize Canvas as course home site to distribute materials, as sites for peer sharing on Discussion Boards, and as portals to submit and retain documentation of SAIC student work. This system has been extensively integrated into the SAIC teacher preparation program courses, allowing the Unit to advance and deepen the use of technology for designing, implementing, and assessing their work in teacher preparation program courses to improve teaching and learning. Most assignments are first collected and critiqued using digital technologies such as Google docs, allowing for ongoing collaboration amongst SAIC students and faculty. During the stay-at-home orders in Spring 2020, professors model using a range of digital technologies for online teaching. All teacher candidates are required to integrate technology into their art curriculum, and to use it for instruction in the classroom during their fieldwork and apprentice teaching semesters. Teacher candidates in these courses design and implement lesson plans and curricula that introduce their students to software and equipment to create, edit, and distribute still and moving images. Teacher candidates take a wide range of art and design courses, including four-dimensional (time arts) technologies, digitally produced design, or a cyber-pedagogy course. The media center at SAIC supports the free access and availability of digital tools for our candidates' use throughout their time in the teacher preparation program, including cameras, document projectors, digital projectors, iPads, video cameras, and other equipment. In the courses Fieldwork: Elementary and Secondary Experiences and

Apprentice Teaching: Elementary and Secondary, there are multiple assignments that require photographic and video documentation of teaching and learning. Teacher candidates share and discuss this work with their SAIC faculty supervisors and peers in seminar classes to critique and improve teacher performance and student learning. SAIC Art Education teacher candidates are familiar with and regularly use Adobe Suite products such as Photoshop, Illustrator, and InDesign to prepare curricular materials. Students are also prepared to teach digital technologies to their students. Students are also introduced to various free software so that they will develop curriculum that they will continue to have access to, despite the financial limitations of schools in which they might teach. The project/lesson plan format for SAIC Art Education requires that teacher candidates use digital presentation formats (such as PowerPoint, Google Slides, Keynote, or Prezi) to create lessons about artists and art-making techniques. A culminating experience of the SAIC Teacher Education programs is a digital presentation of significant student work generated during the Apprentice Teaching experience. The SAIC Art Education Program hosts Teacher Education Network meetings. (TEN is our outside advisory board made up of mentor teachers, alumni, and professionals in the field.) A frequent topic of presentation and conversation is new technologies and new uses of digital technologies in teaching and learning. Since 2014 teacher candidates complete the edTPA student teaching assessment in the spring semester in their final academic year. This assessment portfolio asks teacher candidates to document their preparation for teaching practices, assessment strategies, and teaching reflections on their student teaching experiences. For this portfolio-based assessment, teacher candidates create and organize digital files—text, video, scans of their students' written work, and photos of student artwork. The teacher candidates reflect on how they integrated technology into their lessons by collecting, managing, and analyzing their data to effectively respond to the edTPA prompts.

Teacher Training

THIS PAGE INCLUDES:

>> [Teacher Training](#)

Provide the following information about your teacher preparation program.

(§205(a)(1)(G))

Teacher Training

1. Provide a description of the activities that prepare general education teachers to:

a. Teach students with disabilities effectively

SAIC's teacher education program prepares candidates to teach students with special needs and disabilities effectively throughout the program. The Histories, Philosophies and Theories of American Public Education course investigates the historical, legal and political realities of teaching students with disabilities, including understanding the Individuals with Disability Act (IDEA) and Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA). The Psychological, Sociological, and Phenomenological Approaches to Teaching course investigates historical and current theories and philosophies of human growth and development, creativity, artistic development, cognition, disabilities, differentiated instruction, social and emotional learning, and culturally responsive curriculum. Course readings, field trips, and observations in special education learning environments inform both the development of critical frameworks for considering the social construction of disabilities as well as methods for supporting all students in effective learning. Each year, teacher candidates in this course visit at least one school in Chicago serving students with special hearing, vision, and mobility needs and learn from teachers in those settings. It also considers the history and curricular responses to language diversity including methods for addressing second language learner needs throughout school curriculum. The Doing Democracy course combines the study of critical theory with direct engagement in under-served neighborhoods throughout Chicago in order to prepare candidates to teach in diverse communities. The Curriculum and Instruction, Cultural Approaches to Production, Fieldwork: Elementary and Secondary Experiences and Apprentice Teaching courses include lesson planning with accommodations and modifications for exceptional students, including students with disabilities and students with limited English proficiency. In C & I, teacher candidates prepare lessons that include strategizing and planning for work with ELL students. This is achieved through classroom observations and co-teaching experiences, supported by discussions and relevant readings and videos. Each semester, under the direct supervision of faculty, candidates in this class teach small groups of students in a school with a high ELL population or a dual language immersion program within the city of Chicago. During this directed field experience, teacher candidates are able to link theory to practice in a meaningful way that positively informs effective teaching strategies for limited English proficient students. All curriculum that SAIC teacher candidate's teach include differentiated instruction and curricular adaptations for diverse K-12 settings.

b. Participate as a member of individualized education program teams, as defined in section 614(d)(1)(B) of the *Individuals with Disabilities Education Act*.

Students in Psych/Soc and Curriculum & Instruction are introduced to individualized education plans and learn about how these plans are made and utilized. In the Fieldwork course, mentor teachers share examples of IEPs and explain their uses. In Apprentice Teaching students participate in meetings related to students with IEPs.

c. Effectively teach students who are limited English proficient.

Readings and course activities in the Curriculum & Instruction courses integrate strategies for teaching art-making and arts interpretation with vocabulary building and language development. The SAIC lesson plan format and template for differentiated instruction includes suggestions for supporting English language learners. Through SAIC Art Education courses students are introduced to schools and communities throughout the Chicago area. Our program planning ensures meeting students from various language groups.

2. Does your program prepare special education teachers?

 Yes

 No

If yes, provide a description of the activities that prepare *special education teachers* to:

a. Teach students with disabilities effectively

b. Participate as a member of individualized education program teams, as defined in section 614(d)(1)(B) of the *Individuals with Disabilities Education Act*.

c. Effectively teach students who are limited English proficient.

Contextual Information

On this page, review the contextual information about your program. If you submitted an IPRC last year, this section is pre-loaded from your prior year's report; please review and update as necessary.

After reviewing and updating as necessary, save the page using the floating save box at the bottom of the page.

THIS PAGE INCLUDES:

>> [Contextual Information](#)

Contextual Information

Please use this space to provide any additional information that describes your teacher preparation program(s). You may also attach information to this report card (see below). The U.S. Department of Education is especially interested in any evaluation plans or interim or final reports that may be available.

The School of the Art Institute of Chicago (SAIC) is accredited by the National Association of Schools of Art and Design (NASAD) the national accrediting agency for art and design and art and design-related disciplines, primarily at the collegiate level and the Higher Learning Commission (HLC), North Central Association. In Spring 2013, SAIC underwent an on-site visit and review of the Self-Study report during a re-accreditation review by NASAD and HLC. During this review, the team commended the Unit on the assessment process as it supported teacher candidate learning. Both HLC and NASAD have conferred another ten-year accreditation on the School of the Art Institute of Chicago. SAIC undergraduate teacher candidates must achieve at least average performance in the content area and teacher preparation program courses (the traditional grade equivalent of a C) in order to earn CR (credit). Graduate teacher candidates must achieve the traditional grade equivalent of a B or better within the same courses at the master's level in order to earn CR (credit). The pre-clinical and clinical teacher candidates are assessed at multiple points in the program with a numeric and narrative based evaluation process. These assessments use a 1-5 Likert scale to measure candidate's progress. Scores of 5 correspond with the letter grade A, 4 equals letter grade B, etc. Undergraduate clinical candidates with final evaluation averages below 3 in the Apprentice Teaching course will not be eligible to be recommended for teacher licensure without completing remedial experiences. Graduate clinical candidates with final evaluation averages below 4 in the same course will not be eligible to be recommended for teacher licensure without remedial experiences. The Teacher Education committee comprised of full-time art education faculty reviews any instances in which teacher candidates fail to successfully complete any component of the Fieldwork: Elementary and Secondary Experiences or Apprentice Teaching courses. The committee reviews the case to identify problems and determines a suitable course of action, including remediation support and completing additional practicum hours in order to successfully pass the course, dismissal from the program, or the opportunity to re-enroll in either course,

Supporting Files

No files have been provided.

You may upload files to be included with your report card. You should only upload PDF or Microsoft Word or Excel files. These files will be listed as links in your report card. Upload files in the order that you'd like them to appear.

Report Card Certification

Please make sure your entire report card is complete and accurate before completing this section. Once your report card is certified you will not be able to edit your data.

Certification of submission

I certify that, to the best of my knowledge, the information in this report is accurate and complete and conforms to the definitions and instructions used in the *Higher Education Opportunity Act, Title II: Reporting Reference and User Manual*.

NAME OF RESPONSIBLE REPRESENTATIVE FOR TEACHER PREPARATION PROGRAM:

Valerie Vasquez

TITLE:

Licensure Specialist

Certification of review of submission

I certify that, to the best of my knowledge, the information in this report is accurate and complete and conforms to the definitions and instructions used in the *Higher Education Opportunity Act, Title II: Reporting Reference and User Manual*.

NAME OF REVIEWER:

Olivia Gude

TITLE:

Chair of Art Education