Exalting the Unremarkable
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Vincent van Gogh, in a period of ambition and hope, aspired to an ideal cohabitation that would involve not just life but work and art. His idea for a “Studio of the South” was both a commercial and a utopian endeavor, and he transformed his rental of a small house into a plan in which a new mode of painting would be cultivated and a brotherhood of painters nurtured. While others were considered, it was the older painter Paul Gauguin who became, in Van Gogh’s mind, an essential cornerstone of the project. The two, together, would form the studio and live and work in concord.

At least, this was Van Gogh’s hope in the months leading up to Gauguin’s arrival. In May 1888, he signed a lease for a small, two-story residence (fig. 1) on the Place Lamartine in the southern town of Arles, where he had been living for some months. It would be four months until he could move in. He was waiting for gas lighting to be installed, and, more importantly, he needed to acquire furniture. As he planned, Van Gogh wrote to Gauguin in Brittany, inviting him to the South. Gauguin would not arrive until the third week in October 1888, and Van Gogh spent the time leading up to his arrival painting the house, slowly purchasing some meager furnishings, and—crucially—decorating the interior. Van Gogh hung prints and filled the walls with his paintings made in anticipation of Gauguin’s appearance.

This essay will focus on one of the major works done at this time—the painting that has come to be known as The Poet’s Garden (plate 15), completed in mid-September 1888, in the collection of the Art Institute of Chicago. Intended for Gauguin’s bedroom, this painting can be understood to distill some of Van Gogh’s hopes for the Studio of the South. After creating it, Van Gogh altered his initial plans for Gauguin’s chamber, shifting to a new scheme in which The Poet’s Garden would be joined by three additional paintings of the same subject sharing the same title. As I will discuss, however, with this first work Van Gogh made a case—through the materiality and application of paint—for what art should do. The Poet’s Garden is properly understood as a sort of manifesto, one that came to be directed at his confrère and imminent cohabitant.
paintings, of which he actually realized four—indicates that any individual symbolism the painting might have had singly was mitigated (by their planned repetition) in favor of their contribution to the overall décor. In particular, sunflower paintings were to fill the walls of Gauguin’s bedroom. Their number was reduced, however, after Van Gogh created The Poet’s Garden series. Throughout the autumn, he made these and other additions to the décor of the house as a whole, including a selection of Japanese prints; lithographs by Honoré Daumier, Eugène Delacroix, and Théodore Géricault (all sent by Theo); and a group of portraits, some of which Van Gogh would eventually hang in his own room.

Before creating the first Poet’s Garden canvas, the artist had been making paintings of the Yellow House and its surroundings. He had already done a major painting of the interior of a bar in town, The Night Café, over a few evenings in the first week of September. In the days immediately following, he shifted to a morning scene that would be its counterpart—The Poet’s Garden. The sun-drenched daytime garden inverted the intensified nighttime scene of the café with its plunging perspective and exaggerated colors. He wrote soon after finishing the café painting that he had “tried to express the terrible human passions with the red and the green.” It was both the emotional tenor of The Night Café and its jarring use of complementary colors that Van Gogh reversed in The Poet’s Garden, which is calm and quiet with its harmonious chromatic scheme and its idyllic scene. Such pairings of paintings in which the second would invert or comment on the first were central to how Van Gogh’s plans for the décor evolved. As Roland Dorn has discussed, this process allowed not only recombinations but also connections across works—wherever they ultimately were hung in the house. This is the case with The Poet’s Garden, which soon left its pairing with The Night Café behind as it became the foundation for the new plan for Gauguin’s bedroom. This was among the last paintings the artist made before moving into the Yellow House.

Excited by The Poet’s Garden, Van Gogh made a new pendant for it a little over a week later. It was a painting with a “round cedar or cypress bush” in the foreground and “a line of [oleander] bushes in the background.” The painting (now lost) included two couples walking in the distance: a pair of women with parasols and an opposite-sex couple. This work is only known through two sketches in letters (see fig. 5). In October he created another pair of paintings that, like the second canvas, include a male-female couple walking in the park—the Public Garden with Couple and Blue Fir Tree: The Poet’s Garden III (fig. 6) and Row of Cypresses with a Couple Strolling (fig. 7). Together, these form a four-part
cycle leading from the unpopulated early morning of the Art Institute canvas to the subsequent stages of the day, signaled by the increasing prominence of the two figures. He had painted the gardens before, but it was the Art Institute canvas that consolidated Van Gogh’s belief that he should use the place Lamartine as part of the décoration.

Rendezvous in the Garden, Past and Present

In his letters, the artist explained his enthusiasm for making these new paintings by invoking the Renaissance. Since deciding to settle in Arles, he had attempted to justify to himself and others (such as Theo) exactly why he had chosen this small city. He associated the region with both Japan and the Netherlands, hoping that southern France would facilitate analogous approaches to light, perspective, and composition. Beyond these geographic associations, Van Gogh also looked back to the region’s history and the poets with whom he associated it, such as Boccaccio (who had lived in Avignon and wrote about Arles). In June 1888, he wrote to the painter John Peter Russell of his intent to explain to the region’s history and the poets with whom he associated it, such as Boccaccio (who had lived in Avignon and wrote about Arles). In June 1888, he wrote to the painter John Peter Russell of his intent to explain to him “something about Arles as it is—and as it was in the old days of Boccaccio.”

Some weeks into his stay in this new city, Van Gogh read an article on Boccaccio in the July/August 1888 issue of the Revue des deux mondes. The piece discussed the conversations and correspondence of figures in the poet’s literary and artistic milieu, such as Petrarch, Dante, and Giotto. Reading the accounts of Petrarch and Boccaccio’s exchanges sparked Van Gogh’s idea to layer onto his series of paintings a reference to the garden in which the poets purportedly conversed. Given the July 15 publication date of the Revue essay, it is likely that his engagement with the article only preceded the painting by a fairly short period (a few weeks to a month or so). Overall, the exact sequence of events cannot be fully determined, as Van Gogh did not mention the publication until a September 18 letter to Theo.

Whatever the sequence may have been, the connection to the garden seems to have been activated by the presence of particular plants that Van Gogh observed in the place Lamartine. A few days after he had completed the Art Institute canvas, he wrote to Theo:

I have such luck with the house—with work—that I even dare believe that blessings won’t come singly, but that you’ll share them for your part, and have good luck too. Some time ago I read an article on Dante, Petrarch, Boccaccio, Giotto, Botticelli; my God, what an impression that made on me, reading those people’s letters! Now Petrarch was just near here, in Avignon, and I see the same cypresses and oleanders.
I've tried to put something of that into one of the gardens, painted with thick impasto, lemon yellow and lemon green. Giotto touched me the most—always suffering and always full of kindness and ardor as if he were already living in a world other than this.\textsuperscript{20}

The plants were the main source of Van Gogh’s enthusiasm, and they spurred further associations. In the same letter, he wrote, “My dear Theo, when you’ve seen the cypresses, the oleanders, the sun down here—and that day will come, don’t worry—you’ll think even more often of beautiful works by Puvis de Chavannes.”\textsuperscript{21} Coming on the heels of the association with Boccaccio, the reference to Puvis also hinged on the cypresses and oleanders. Rather than limiting himself to a single symbolic program for his series of garden paintings, Van Gogh layered and multiplied its meanings in his delight that this garden was across from the house that he had just moved into.

The intensity of these weeks in mid-September must be kept in mind when looking at The Poet’s Garden series. While it is not directly iconographic or symbolic of the time of Petrarch and Boccaccio, it is infused with the artist’s anticipation of new beginnings and the establishment of new traditions promised by the arrival of his housemate. It is for this reason that the innovations of the Renaissance came to Van Gogh’s mind as he set to work on paintings intended for the space that would house the artists’ fraternity for which he so fervently yearned. The letters of the poets that he read in the Revue des deux mondes article reinforced his hopes for an ideal community, and the garden came to be the imagined stage for this aspiration. As he would write to Gauguin several weeks later, “These parts of the world have already seen both the cult of Venus—essentially artistic in Greece—and the poets and artists of the Renaissance. Where these things have been able to flower, Impressionism can do so too.”\textsuperscript{22}

As the first painting of the series, the Art Institute canvas, especially, was tied up with the artist’s conception of the garden outside the Yellow House as fertile ground for a new renaissance of painting, as he described in his letter to Gauguin:

\textit{The unremarkable public garden contains plants and bushes that make one dream of landscapes in which one may readily picture to oneself Botticelli, Giotto, Petrarch, Dante and Boccaccio. In the decoration I’ve tried to tease out the essence of what constitutes the changeless character of the region.}\textsuperscript{23}

Van Gogh was again making a case to Gauguin for why his impending move to Arles was a good one. Just at the time when his Studio of the South was about to become a reality, Van Gogh recalled (and probably reread) the article that discussed artistic collaboration and tied it to Petrarch’s presence in the region. In that same letter of October 3, he wrote to Gauguin about the region’s ties to “the old poet from here (or rather from Avignon), Petrarch, and at the same time the new poet living here—Paul Gauguin.”\textsuperscript{24} Thinking of the ways in which the younger Boccaccio turned to the older Petrarch for counsel, Van Gogh signaled (perhaps too obsequiously) the importance of Gauguin’s arrival. This same letter is also the first reference to the series as The Poet’s Garden; he also wrote to the artist Émile Bernard the same day using a very similar phrase.\textsuperscript{25} This title, in other words, seems to have come to retroactively describe the first painting in the series.

In addition, the association with the poetry of Petrarch and Boccaccio has led some to interpret The Poet’s Garden series as being about poetry’s concern with the thematics of love.\textsuperscript{26} The increasing prominence and seclusion of the strolling couples, keyed to the progress of the day, would seem to indicate that this theme structured the series. However, it is important to note that these are not necessarily the same couples, as there are clear differences in their clothes in each painting. Since Van Gogh prized working from observation, this multiplicity of pairings could be a subtle reference to (or merely the effect of) the nearby brothels, of which he was well aware and that also informed his understanding of the place Lamartine. A few days after finishing the Art Institute canvas, the artist wrote to Bernard about coming to Arles and the possibility of painting in the brothels.\textsuperscript{27} Van Gogh’s cognizance of their proximity to the Yellow House and the garden is repeatedly evident. He told Theo about the place, using the euphemism “good little ladies” (\textit{bonnes petites femmes})

\textit{But what scenery! It’s a public garden where I am, just near the street of the good little ladies [...] But you’ll understand that it’s precisely that which gives a je ne sais quoi of Boccaccio to the place. That side of the garden is also, for the same reason of chastity or morality, empty of flowering shrubs such as the oleander. It’s ordinary plane trees, pines in tall clumps, a weeping tree and green grass. But it has such intimacy! There are gardens like that by Monet.}\textsuperscript{28}

The second pair of paintings (figs. 6–7) that makes up the series depicts the couples alone on the side of the gardens with the coniferous trees. This landscaping, Van Gogh insinuated, avoided those trees and shrubs that could have provided cover for sexual encounters. Combined with the fact of the differing clothes, it becomes clear that there is not a unified or singular theme of love organizing the series. It seems, rather, to replay a recurring problem in Van Gogh’s practice—how to balance a desire
The Poet’s Garden

Identifying Van Gogh’s associations with the garden, however, does not foreclose or fully explain the meanings of these paintings. One must be cautious about leaning too heavily on the title and on Van Gogh’s associations of the series with the Renaissance. None of the four works, for instance, has any direct iconographic relationship to these contexts, and a discussion of topics such as Van Gogh’s casting of Gauguin as Petrarch offers relatively little help in an analysis of the paintings themselves. This is especially the case with regard to the first in the series. Van Gogh’s excitement about his garden was driven by the ways he could deploy it, rhetorically, as an indication of the value of Arles, but the paintings are, more importantly, about the observation and transformation of what he could see if he crossed the street outside the front door of his new house and—more importantly—what he could see from the second bedroom’s windows.

The choice to hinge the décoration of Gauguin’s bedroom on this series is underwritten by this relation of proximity. Each day, Gauguin would wake to see both Van Gogh’s painting of the morning garden and the windows that faced out onto the garden itself. As Van Gogh wrote to his sister Willemien, the bedrooms “look out on a very pretty public garden, and where you can see the sunrise in the morning.” It was this opportunity to have Gauguin compare the actual garden with his own painting that fueled Van Gogh’s decision to make this work the grounds for a new plan. It became crucial to the message he was sending Gauguin about his own priorities with regard to working from observation in contrast to Gauguin’s emphasis on imagination and invention. Whereas he told his sister that the garden was “very pretty,” it is important to remember that he had described it to Gauguin as “unremarkable,” setting up the contrast between his own painting’s intensification and its prototype outside the window.

The first Poet’s Garden (plate 15) canvas did transform and amplify what could be seen in the public gardens. It depicts an expanse of grass studded with wildflowers and hedged in by trees and bushes, offering a view of the setting “under a pale yellow lemon sky.” Indeed, the colors of the sky are intense, and they echo tones used throughout the foreground, with its range of greens. The composition is divided into demarcated zones, with the foreground’s spread of grass leading to the middle ground’s smaller area, bound by the round bush on the left and the weeping tree on the right with the oleander at the direct center. Beyond that, a row of trees begins to dissolve into an almost aerial perspective as the dark greens give way to lighter oranges and browns. These trees are overtaken by the yellow sky, thick with impasto. On the far left, a blue steeple over the tree line picks up the light blue of the flowers dotted across the grass.

In its organization, The Poet’s Garden follows the structure of most landscape paintings, in which an expanse of space and its visual recession moves from foreground to background. By convention, such works are sectioned into proportional horizontal bands in which the lowermost represents the most proximate space to the viewer’s/painter’s perspective. Following the image’s depiction of space, one moves upward along the canvas’s horizontal bands from foreground to middle ground to background (often rendered as sky). Most paintings of landscape follow this structure, in which the viewer’s gaze is directed to move vertically up the painting as it engages with the illusion of spatial recession extended behind the picture plane. In The Poet’s Garden, the relationship between the image created by the pictorial array and the flat material object’s division into horizontal zones is an active one. Beyond the construction of an image of landscape, the move upward along the horizontal bands of the painting’s surface also brings with it an increase in the literal depth of the paint’s impasto. The flat picture of the garden’s receding expanse, in other words, is interpenetrated with a literal projection of materiality out from that surface that grows as one’s eyes track upward along the painting’s surface to focus on the representation of sky.

In the band that represents the grass nearest to the position of the painter or viewer, the handling is light and the paint thin. Patterns of grass are visually generalized through the use of vertical brushstrokes with almost no literal depth. The vertical orientation of the brushstrokes continues until the tree line well into the middle ground, but they become more pronounced, thicker, and more varied when the forms of trees or bushes are outlined and demarcated. The weeping tree at right, for instance, has strong outlines and passages of short, broken brushstrokes throughout the dark green of the drooping branches, their weight reinforced by the dark values of the shaded lower foliage. By contrast, the bush to the left seems to emit energy through the use of thicker brushstrokes organized in a radial pattern. The progressive thickening of the brushstrokes continues to the tree line, where the predominantly vertical pattern gives way to a multidirectional one. The uppermost reaches of the tree line begin to meld into the yellow sky. That sky, however, returns to regularity with the use of emphatic horizontal brushstrokes applied thickly.
As noted in the conservation report on this painting, X-ray examination reveals how much this spatial organization was structured into the composition as a whole (see fig. 8).\(^{34}\) The space around the two middle-ground trees has been carefully plotted out. Their dark outlines indicate thin areas in the paint surface, probably from holding the space for the trees in reserve when the first layer of foreground was painted. This planning is further indicated by vertical paint strokes at regular intervals along the bottom edge that are evident to the naked eye when the picture is unframed. They operate like and resemble a ruler’s measured divisions, and their presence reinforces the emphasis on verticality that characterizes the lowermost band of the landscape painting and its depiction of the grass.

The syntax of the paint’s thickness and directionality encourages the viewer to recognize that both the pictorial image and its material substance are in dialogue with each other. The experience of looking into the represented space (and following the bands from near to far, bottom to top, thin to thick) is an experience of tracking the increasing pictorial recession while simultaneously encountering the accumulating material presence of paint as one looks into the represented distance. As a result, the sky—which is not a thing and in its immensity cannot be touched, measured, or even outlined—has in Van Gogh’s painting a real, impasto-heavy, physical thickness, which incites an almost tactile response.

This performance of paint’s materiality in *The Poet’s Garden* was Van Gogh’s message to Gauguin. In his enthusiasm for what the Studio of the South could do, he wanted to make this canvas for Gauguin’s bedroom as a reminder of painting’s ability to transform and deepen the experience of the observable world. It is the ineffable and the immaterial—sky itself—that the work makes most tangible. The riotous yellows of the sky, in contrast to the thinness of the nearer grass, appear more present in their literal, material depth. This is an image of the painter’s work of amplification, with Van Gogh showing how the aim is to make material that is beyond our quotidian grasp. That is, the artist made a case for observation but also showed how it is the painter’s task to transform and intensify it. Here he did so by making the thick and tactile sky call attention to itself in contrast to the thin grass underfoot. In short, *The Poet’s Garden* was for Van Gogh a statement about the painter’s vision, which he claimed should be founded on observation and transformation.

This was, as discussed below, an ongoing concern in Van Gogh’s ambitions. Within days of making *The Poet’s Garden*, he would destroy for the second time his attempt to paint...
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Christ in the Garden of Gethsemane. He explained to Theo that he could not paint it if it was not based on observation: “Because here I see real olive trees.” He later wrote to Bernard about this failed work, “I mercilessly destroyed an important canvas—a Christ with the angel in Gethsemane—as well as another one depicting the poet with a starry sky—because the form hadn’t been studied from the model beforehand, necessary in such cases—despite the fact that the colour was right.” The artist believed in working from and being inspired by the direct observation of nature. He did, however, amplify and alter those observations, and he even wrote to Theo about how he edited out some of the small bushes in The Poet’s Garden in order to increase the painting’s clarity. He continued to couch that “unremarkable” public garden to make an anticipatory response to Gauguin.

Van Gogh had both the Art Institute’s Poet’s Garden and its pendant framed in walnut—the material out of which Gauguin’s bed and elaborate chair were made. Its use for all of these furnishings not only contributed to unifying the décor but also communicated to Gauguin that The Poet’s Garden was intended specifically for him. Writing to Theo just after the completion of the fourth painting in the series and just days before Gauguin’s arrival, Van Gogh summarized how much he was addressing these works to Gauguin as a means to initiate their dialogue about painting:

I nevertheless pressed ahead as far as I could with what I had on the go, in a strong desire to be able to show him something new. And not to fall under his influence (because of course he’ll have an influence on me, I hope) before being able to show him beyond any doubt my own originality. He’ll see that anyway from the décor as it is now.

These were the emotions and aspirations that were tied up with the series from the first. Van Gogh’s anticipation combined both hope for synergistic collaboration and fear of losing his own voice.

Over the course of the nine weeks of Gauguin’s cohabitation with Van Gogh, the two engaged in intense—and increasingly contentious—dialogues about the priorities and future of modern painting. The Poet’s Garden was but the initial move in a contest that evolved from collaborative to agonistic. As happens with intense expectation, reality often does not live up to hopes and dreams.

Apart Together: Van Gogh and Gauguin at Home

It is important to remember that the Yellow House was no ideal space for living or for art. The ground-floor studio, while of a somewhat good size, was shared by the two painters. More intimately, the two small bedrooms on the upper floor were adjoining, so that Gauguin had to daily (and nightly) pass through Van Gogh’s bedroom to get to his own. Especially given their different nightlife proclivities, this would have meant recurring negotiations about living space. Van Gogh’s anxieties about social interaction were fueled by his observation of Gauguin’s activities and a degree of jealousy about them. He wrote to his brother that the French painter was “particularly intrigued” by the women of Arles and, later, that he “has some success with the Arlésiennes.” Van Gogh, by contrast, had often stated that sexual activity distracted from the artist’s task. With this in mind, Gauguin’s nocturnal departures would have been met with Van Gogh’s disapproval as...
his own bedroom, Gauguin became increasingly dissatisfied with the arrangement. He talked of establishing a “studio of the tropics” that would be more in line with his own ambitions. Van Gogh began to see his own lofty anticipations—the ones that had infused The Poet’s Garden—turn from hope to precariousness. Again, he looked to painting as a means to engage Gauguin in a dialogue, and a tipping point in their relationship can be located in the two pictures of chairs (plates 23–24) from the Yellow House that he executed rapidly in the middle of November, about a month after Gauguin’s arrival. While The Poet’s Garden series distilled Van Gogh’s anticipatory aims into the décoration of the home, these paintings drew on the lived reality of its furnishings to give voice to his growing awareness of the profound differences between himself and Gauguin.

He painted Gauguin’s chair (plate 24) against a background of green and red, restaging Gauguin’s use of these antagonistic hues. The horizontal line created by the join of the floor and the wall creates a stark bilateral division, flattening out the space and offering little sense of perspective or depth. This, too, evoked some of Gauguin’s methods and the ways in which he replaced spatial recession with flat, mostly unmodulated fields of contrasting color. Van Gogh overlaid the bottom half of this division with reflections from a gaslight on the wall, spreading yellow glimmers across the red floor tiles.

Van Gogh set both chairs in the ground-floor studio in which the two artists worked. The red tiles can be seen in both pictures, but Van Gogh’s painting of his own chair (plate 23) departs significantly from the other work in its color and depiction of space. He placed it against a daylight blue wall instead of a nighttime green wall, and diagonals dominate. Whereas the other image emphasizes flatness, this one showcases the ways in which he could amplify perspective (as in The Bedroom). The colors are far more harmonious, and Van Gogh’s signature yellow gives both solidity and lightness to the chair. Van Gogh painted Gauguin’s chair after dark, perhaps when the other painter was out at the local café; his own enjoys a flood of natural light.

Van Gogh’s chair is one of twelve he had purchased in September. Rush-bottomed and without arms, it evokes both simplicity and traditions of rural craft. With its curving lines, Gauguin’s chair was the most intricate in the house, and it had been acquired specifically for the guest bedroom. On it sits a candle and books, indicating Van Gogh’s continued faith in Gauguin as a source of inspiration. His own chair, however, holds a pipe and tobacco. Behind it is a box bearing his signature and containing onions. While these attributes would seem to show the artist’s continued self-subordination to the example of Gauguin, the different ways of using color and space are also an assertion of his own artistic independence. Much like The Poet’s Garden, Van Gogh placed emphasis on the painter’s amplification and enhancement of the observable, quotidian world. These two chairs became a substitute portrait and self-portrait that, together, showed Van Gogh’s ability to emulate Gauguin’s practice while also keeping to his initial ambition to work from observation of all that surrounded them in the Yellow House and its environs.

The Poet’s Garden, The Bedroom, and the two chair paintings all take the exaltation of the unremarkable as their starting point. Van Gogh placed an emphasis on the amplification of observed reality, whereas Gauguin sought to introduce imagination and new imagery, likening his role as artist to that of prophet, able to see the unseen. In The Poet’s Garden, Van Gogh retained the...
traditional structure of landscape painting and maintained the importance of observation. Nevertheless, he transformed what he observed, stressing the importance of paint itself to make the “unremarkable garden” into something remarkable. Each day, Gauguin would wake to Van Gogh’s painting, more vivid than the actual garden just outside the window. Just as the Studio of the South was becoming a reality, Van Gogh chose to make a case for the importance of observation as a means of achieving evocative and symbolic content. He wanted to keep his work rooted in reality, and he made the polemical move of showing Gauguin how the painting of the world outside could both resemble and exalt that which could be seen. But, as John House noted, “The paradox is, of course, that at this moment Gauguin was looking in quite the opposite direction for the roots of inspiration—not outwards to nature, but inwards to his own vision.”

Van Gogh did attempt to learn from Gauguin, and he wrote to his sister that Gauguin encouraged him “to work purely from the imagination.” Under Gauguin’s influence, he explained, he tried to paint from memory (rather than direct observation), resulting in Woman Reading a Novel (fig. 10) and Memory of the Garden at Etten (Ladies at Arles) (fig. 11). The Memory of the Garden at Etten looked back to The Poet’s Garden, using the place Lamartine as its setting. In that same letter he continued, “There you are, I know it isn’t perhaps much of a resemblance, but for me it conveys the poetic character and style of the garden as I feel them.” Emphasizing the dialogue with The Poet’s Garden, he also told Willemien that he intended to hang this new painting in his own bedroom. Following this experiment, however, the artist returned to his commitment to observation, however amplified and intensified it became.

Van Gogh already knew that he and Gauguin had differences before his friend arrived, and his anticipation of cohabitation led him to make a painting to stake out a position for himself. The preparations for the Yellow House were interwoven with hope and anxiety for Van Gogh, and just as moving into it became a reality, he made a manifesto in paint about how the observable world could be an endless resource for the painter without, however, leading to pure imagination and fabrication.
Van Gogh would reiterate this aim in one of the next major works he created after his intense period of working outdoors on the paintings of the garden of the place Lamartine—his Bedroom. Also intended as part of the décoration of the house, The Bedroom similarly amplified the experience of observation and set up a comparison between that which could be immediately seen and the painting that transformed it. He wrote coyly to Gauguin about this painting, saying that “it amused me enormously doing this bare interior.” But Van Gogh knew that—like the garden across the street—Gauguin would, in his daily life in the Yellow House, be confronted again and again by the comparison between the painting and what it depicted. He had walked through that bedroom every day on the way to his own, after all.

The significance of The Poet’s Garden for this moment in Van Gogh’s history has not been fully acknowledged previously, and it has often been overshadowed by other paintings that seem to dramatize the psychological complexity that has come to be associated with his work. That is, paintings like The Night Café and The Bedroom are receptive to readings that emphasize the painter’s emotions and anxieties, and they have made the landscape of The Poet’s Garden seem, to many, to be less revealing by comparison. Added to this has been the tendency to see all of the Poet’s Garden paintings as a single endeavor. The initial painting in the series, I contend, is worthy of attention precisely because it evinces such a concerted effort by Van Gogh. It does this intentionally, with evidence not of anxiety but of conviction. This conviction, however, had its primary manifestation neither in subject matter nor in form but rather in the orchestration of paint’s materiality.
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